## Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report January 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005

In the six month period from January 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 63 completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The 63 complaints reviewed from the Baltimore Police Department contained 70 allegations of Excessive Force, 64 allegations of abusive language and 7 allegations of harassment. The total number of allegations received by the Board for the period was 141. Of the 63 complaints reviewed for the period, none were actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>70</u> allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>0</u> of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>63</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>1</u> was EXONERATED and <u>2</u> were SUSTAINED. <u>4</u> allegations were not investigated. For the same <u>70</u> allegations of excessive force, the Civilian Review Board found: <u>56</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> EXONERATED and <u>14</u> SUSTAINED.

Of the <u>64</u> allegations of abusive language reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that: <u>0</u> were UNFOUNDED, <u>57</u> were NOT SUSTAINED and <u>1</u> was SUSTAINED. For reasons not determined, <u>7</u> allegations were not investigated. For the same <u>64</u> allegations of abusive language, the Civilian Review Board found: <u>49</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>9</u> were SUSTAINED and <u>4</u> resulted in a tie vote. 2 allegations were not investigated.

Of the 7 allegations of harassment reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found 7 were NOT SUSTAINED. For the same 7 allegations of harassment, the Civilian Review Board found; 4 were NOT SUSTAINED, and 3 were SUSTAINED. During the review period (January 1, 2005 through June 30, 2005) 45 complaints were received by the Civilian Review Board against members of the Baltimore Police Department. There were no complaints received against members of the Sheriff's Office, School Police or Housing Authority Police.

Of the <u>45</u> complaints processed by the Board, a simultaneous investigation was requested to be done by the Board Investigators on <u>21</u> complaints.

The  $\underline{45}$  complaints processed by the Board contained approximately  $\underline{60}$  allegations.

The <u>45</u> complaints processed in this review period compared to <u>41</u> filed in the proceeding six-month review period.

## Civilian Review Board of Baltimore City Semi-Annual Statistical Report July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005

In the six month review period from July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, the Civilian Review Board reviewed 46 completed investigations submitted by the Internal Affairs Division of the Baltimore Police Department. The 46 complaints reviewed contained 35 allegations of excessive force, 24 allegations of abusive language, and 9 allegations of harassment. The total number of allegations reviewed in the period was 68.

Of the 46 complaints reviewed for the period, none was actually filed during this period.

Of the <u>35</u> allegations of excessive force reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>2</u> of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>30</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>2</u> were EXONERATED, and <u>0</u> were SUSTAINED. <u>1</u> allegation was not investigated. For the same <u>35</u> allegations of EXCESSIVE FORCE, the Civilian Review Board found <u>26</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED, <u>5</u> were SUSTAINED and <u>4</u> resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation made).

Of the <u>24</u> allegations of ABUSIVE LANGUAGE reviewed by the board, the Law Enforcement Agency found that <u>0</u> of the allegations were UNFOUNDED, <u>22</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED, <u>1</u> was SUSTAINED and <u>1</u> allegation was not investigated.

For the same <u>24</u> allegations of ABUSIVE LANGUAGE the Civilian Review Board found, <u>15</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>6</u> were SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED, and <u>3</u> resulted in a TIE-VOTE (no recommendation made).

Of the <u>9</u> allegations of HARASSMENT reviewed by the Board, the Law Enforcement Agency involved found that <u>4</u> were UNFOUNDED, <u>5</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were EXONERATED.

For the same <u>9</u> allegations of HARASSMENT the Civilian Review Board found <u>9</u> were NOT SUSTAINED, <u>0</u> were SUSTAINED, and <u>0</u> were EXONERATED.

During this review period (July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005), <u>30</u> complaints were received by the Civilian Review Board against members of the Baltimore Police Department. There were no complaints received against members of the Sheriff's Office, School Police, or Housing Authority Police.

Of the <u>30</u> complaints, a simultaneous investigation was requested on <u>24</u> to be done by the Board's investigators. The <u>30</u> complaints processed by the Board contained approximately <u>40</u> allegations. There were <u>30</u> complaints filed compared to <u>45</u> during the last reporting period, and <u>75</u> for the entire year.